1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION							
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION							
3	ILLINOIS-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY) DOCKET NO.) 07-0371							
4)							
5	Petition for Authority to Incur) \$28,500,000 of Indebtedness and)							
6	Approval of Affiliated Interest) Transaction)							
7	Cominatiold Illinois							
8	Springfield, Illinois Thursday, July 19, 2007							
9								
J	Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.							
10	BEFORE:							
11								
12	MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge							
	APPEARANCES:							
13	MR. ALBERT STURTEVANT							
14	JONES DAY 77 West Wacker							
15	Chicago, Illinois 60601 Ph. # (312) 269-4094							
16								
17	(Appearing on behalf of Petitioner via teleconference)							
18								
19								
20								
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Carla J. Boehl, Reporter							
22	Ln. #084-002710							

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
2	MS. MARY SULLIVAN Corporate Counsel
3	100 North Water Works Drive Belleville, Illinois 62223
4	Ph. # (618) 239-3222
5	(Appearing on behalf of the Petitioner via teleconference)
6	MS. ROCHELLE PHIPPS
7	Accounting Department 527 East Capitol Avenue
8	Springfield, Illinois 62701
9	(Appearing on behalf of Staff of the Illinois Commerce
LO	Commission)
11	
12	
13	
L4	
15	
L6	
L7	
18	
L9	
20	
21	
22	

1		I N D	<u>E X</u>		
2	HITTMEGG		an o a a		DEGRAGA
3	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	None.				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14		EXHII	<u>BITS</u>		
15	None.			MARKED	ADMITTED
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
- 3 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 4 Number 07-0371. This docket was initiated by
- 5 Illinois-American Water Company. The Company seeks
- 6 authority to incur \$28.5 million in indebtedness and
- 7 also seeks approval of an affiliated interest
- 8 transaction.
- 9 May I have the appearances for the
- 10 record, please?
- 11 MR. STURTEVANT: Appearing on behalf of
- 12 Illinois-American Water Company, Albert Sturtevant,
- 13 S-T-U-R-T-E-V-A-N-T, Jones Day, 77 West Wacker,
- 14 Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 15 MS. SULLIVAN: Also appearing on behalf of
- 16 Illinois-American Water, Mary Sullivan, 100 North
- 17 Water Works Drive, Belleville, Illinois 62223. My
- 18 phone number is (618) 239-3222.
- 19 MS. PHIPPS: And on behalf of the Staff,
- 20 Rochelle Phipps, 527 East Capitol Avenue,
- 21 Springfield, Illinois 62701.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. Let the record

- 1 reflect that there are no others wishing to enter an
- 2 appearance.
- 3 The purpose of today's status hearing
- 4 is to set additional scheduling dates in this
- 5 proceeding. And before doing that, though, I had a
- 6 preliminary matter regarding some of the direct
- 7 testimony that was filed, the more recent round of
- 8 direct testimony. Yeah, it was from Mr. Suits. I
- 9 notice attached to that there were several additional
- 10 exhibits and do you happen to have that handy, Mr.
- 11 Sturtevant?
- 12 MR. STURTEVANT: Yeah, I have electronic
- 13 copies.
- 14 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Well, let me put it this
- 15 way. I am just going to ask you a couple of
- 16 questions and you can take some time to answer them,
- 17 and when we meet again is fine if you want to give me
- 18 answers then.
- 19 I just noticed that Exhibit BLS 1.1
- 20 and BLS 1.2 were submitted both proprietary and
- 21 public versions but the public versions just simply
- were a single sheet of paper with a note that the

- 1 entire document was proprietary. And having looked
- 2 at the proprietary versions of those documents, I was
- 3 just wondering if it is really necessary for the
- 4 entire 24 pages of 1.1 and the 38 pages of 1.2 to be
- 5 kept confidential, given the nature of the documents.
- 6 And then similarly with regard to
- 7 Attachments 1.8 and 1.9, BLS 1.8 and BLS 1.9, those
- 8 are single page documents but both of them are
- 9 labeled proprietary. And I was hoping at the next
- 10 status or at some point if you could provide some
- 11 explanation for the need for proprietary treatment of
- 12 those documents.
- 13 MR. STURTEVANT: I guess, Your Honor, I think I
- 14 can give you some explanation at this point.
- 15 BLS 1.1 and 1.2 are, I quess,
- 16 internally designated confidential by the Company and
- 17 the Company has treated them as confidential in their
- 18 entirety, you know, as far as releasing that to third
- 19 parties and that kind of thing.
- 20 I believe it is our intent to review
- 21 those documents, as you say, and to determine what
- 22 portions of those could be reclassified as public so

- 1 that rather than a single sheet you would have the
- 2 public document would be a redacted version of that
- 3 document.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. That's what I was hoping
- 5 you would say.
- 6 MR. STURTEVANT: With regard to 1.8 and 1.9, I
- 7 think we will undertake the same review, and at our
- 8 next status, to the extent the Company wants to
- 9 maintain confidentiality, we can discuss the basis of
- 10 that at that time, if that works.
- 11 JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I will just add to help
- 12 you in your review, I happened to be in our -- I
- 13 can't recall the proper title but our person that
- 14 handles external affairs. They had stacks of
- 15 newspapers down there this week and I happened to
- 16 notice the Champaign News Gazette. The cover story
- 17 was with regard to the location of the water plant.
- 18 And I know we are not concerned about the location
- 19 itself in this docket, but the comment sort of caught
- 20 my eye. And I thought if they know where the water
- 21 plant is going already and this map supports keeping
- the document as proprietary, and if there is a reason

- 1 I am not thinking about, by all means provide it and
- 2 I will be satisfied. But I just wanted to mention
- 3 that.
- 4 MR. STURTEVANT: I understand where you are
- 5 coming from and, as I said, I think we can declassify
- 6 it. To the extent we can declassify these, it is our
- 7 intent to do that.
- 8 JUDGE ALBERS: Do either of your have any
- 9 preliminary matters you wanted to raise this morning
- 10 before we turn to the schedule?
- MS. PHIPPS: No, I don't have anything, Your
- 12 Honor.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Anything from you, Mr.
- 14 Sturtevant?
- 15 MR. STURTEVANT: Nothing from the Company, Your
- 16 Honor.
- 17 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And prior to going on the
- 18 record this morning we discussed Staff filing its
- 19 direct testimony -- excuse me, Staff filing its
- 20 direct testimony or a response to the petition on
- 21 September 5 and thereafter meeting again on September
- 22 12 at one o'clock that afternoon. Is that your

understanding of the schedule as well? 1 2. MR. STURTEVANT: That's our understanding, Your 3 Honor. 4 MS. PHIPPS: And that's my understanding, Your Honor. 5 б JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And those dates and times 7 work for me. So is there anything further for the 8 record today? 9 MR. STURTEVANT: Nothing for the Company, Your 10 Honor. 11 MS. PHIPPS: And I don't have anything either. JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you, both. With that I 12 13 will continue this to September 12 at 1:00 p.m. 14 (Whereupon the hearing in this matter was continued until 15 September 12, 2007, at 1:00 p.m. 16 in Springfield, Illinois.) 17 18 19 20 21 22